0047504

# RELEASE INSTRUCTIONS (RI) NO RETURN RECEIPT

DOCUMENT NO.:

WHC-CM-7-5 \_

PAGE 1 OF 1

TO:  DEBRA A ISOM	TITLE: Environmental Compliance
H6-08	RELEASE NO.: N/A
0413V	DATE PREPARED: July 15, 1997
When document is no longer needed, please recycle/destroy contents and binder. Sign and date the UDC Unclassified Coversheet and return to UDC, A3-95, indicating "DESTROYED".	If you have any questions about this release contact:  Robert N. Sherman Phone: 372-1766

### CONTROLLED COPY INSTRUCTIONS

1. REMOVE AND/OR INSERT INDICATED SECTIONS INTO DOCUMENT AS SHOWN BELOW.

2. UPDATE THE RELEASE RECORD AT THE FRONT OF THE DOCUMENT.

		REMOVE			INSERT -		
SECTION NO. AND TITLE(S)	PAGES	REV	DATE	PAGES	REV	DATE	
HNF-MD-006, Identifying/Reporting a DOE Nuclear Safety Requirement Noncompliance	1 - 5	0	1/13/97	1 - 5	1	6/30/97	
			<u></u>				



Fluor Daniel Hanford

Management Directive

Revision:
Page Number:
Effective Date:
June 30, 1997

Subject:

Approved by:

Identifying/Reporting a DOE Nuclear
Safety Requirement Noncompliance

L. K. Trent, Vice President, ES&H
Fluor Daniel Hanford

#### 1.0 PURPOSE

Effective October 1, 1996, Fluor Daniel Hanford (FDH) (the Project Hanford Management Contract [PHMC] prime contractor) and its major subcontractors will assess compliance with DOE nuclear safety requirements promulgated under the Price-Anderson Amendments Act (PAAA) using a process similar to that described in HNF-IP-1112, Nuclear Safety Rule Compliance Manual. Under the PAAA, the prime contractor must assure compliance by subcontractors; however, under the Management and Integration (M&I) structure, the prime contractor does not have direct responsibility for facility operations. This management directive provides interim guidance to ensure identifying and reporting of a noncompliance. When a final process has been defined, approved, and implemented, this management directive will be canceled.

Major subcontractors who operate nuclear and radiological facilities and the associated facility management teams are responsible and accountable for compliance with DOE nuclear safety requirements. Compliance with these requirements is defined by <u>OA Implementation Plan</u>, HNF-SP-1131, Revision 1, and the <u>Radcon Implementation Plan</u>, HNF-SP-1145, Revision 1, both of which remain in force. Identified noncompliances with either of the implementation plans must be reported and corrected in accordance with the process outlined in Section 3.0 of this directive.

If the impact or potential impact of a noncompliance is judged to be low (below Severity Level III as defined in Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 820 (10 CFR 820) Appendix A, "General Statement of Enforcement Policy, section VI-Severity of Violations"), then it will be reported to the contractor database maintained by the PHMC, known as the PHMC Noncompliance Database. The PHMC will maintain this database of minor noncompliances identified by the PHMC, subcontractors, and suppliers. Identified noncompliances of impact (or potential impact) greater than Severity Level III will be reported to the DOE Office of Enforcement and Investigation via the Noncompliance Tracking System (NTS). The PHMC will submit all NTS reports whether solely a PHMC report, a joint PHMC-subcontractor report, or solely a subcontractor report.

#### 2.0 KEY RESPONSIBILITIES

As the "Regulatory Executive Officer" for the integrating contractor, the vice president, Environmental, Safety & Health (ES&H) is the point of contact with RL and DOE/HQ for all PAAA issues. The vice president, ES&H is responsible for the overall process that ensures reporting of noncompliances is carried out effectively by the PHMC and its subcontractors and suppliers. This includes approval/concurrence of a PHMC and/or subcontractor response to a Notice of Violation (NOV). The vice president, ES&H, is also responsible for receiving, distributing, and responding to DOE requirements relating to nuclear safety.

Fluor Daniel Hanford Company Management Directive		HNF-MD-006
•	Revision:	1
Identifying/Reporting a DOE Nuclear	Page Number:	2 of 5
Safety Requirement Noncompliance	Effective Date:	June 30, 1997

- 2.2 Legal Services (Fluor Daniel Hanford or major subcontractors) is responsible for providing legal interpretations of 10 CFR 820 and associated DOE nuclear safety requirements for the benefit of the PHMC.
- 2.3 The manager, Nuclear Safety Regulatory Compliance (NSRC) is responsible for making the final determination of the significance of potential noncompliances to nuclear safety requirements and developing an overall framework for specific rule implementation plans and exemption requests. In addition, the manager, NSRC serves as the interface with the DOE Field/Operations Office PAAA coordinator and reviews and validates statements of fact in correspondence with the DOE Office of Enforcement and Investigation.
- 2.4 Major subcontractors who operate nuclear and radiological facilities and the associated facility management teams are responsible and accountable for ensuring facility compliance with DOE nuclear safety requirements including activities performed by subcontractors and suppliers.
- 2.5 The interpretive authority (identified by the PHMC and assigned to specific S/RID functional areas) for a specific DOE nuclear safety requirement is responsible for providing technical interpretation and guidance for compliance to that DOE nuclear safety requirement. The interpretive authority for the two requirements currently in law are 10 CFR 835-the director, Radiation Protection (FDH) and 10 CFR 830.120-vice president, Quality Assurance (FDH). The interpretive authority will review facility-identified PAAA noncompliances and confirm them as PAAA noncompliances, and concur with proposed compensatory and corrective actions. Correspondence with DOE relating to PAAA issues in the interpretive authority's area will be reviewed and approved by the interpretive authority. It is recognized that facility management will consult with facility and subcontractor experts concerning compliance posture and the need to report specific findings and events; however, the interpretive authority is the final authority on matters of compliance with a particular element of a DOE nuclear safety requirement.

#### 3.0 PROCESS FOR PAAA NONCOMPLIANCE IDENTIFICATION AND REPORTING

The process outlined in this section roughly corresponds to the process described in HNF-IP-1112, Nuclear Safety Rule Compliance Manual. For further elaboration on some of the process areas discussed below, this manual may be consulted. HNF-IP-1112 will be succeeded by the PHMC company level procedure that will replace this management directive.

- 3.1 Facility management shall review facility occurrence reports, safety system status/performance reports (if appropriate), management self-assessments, and independent assessments to identify potential noncompliances with DOE nuclear safety requirements. Management discretion should be used to identify other documents, reports, etc. to identify nuclear safety requirement noncompliances.
- 3.2 When facility management identifies a potential noncompliance, the specific issue should be evaluated by the facility expert for the requirement area(s) involved to determine if a noncompliance has occurred.
- 3.3 After a noncompliance has been confirmed by the appropriate facility expert, facility management shall report the noncompliance to the associated subcontractor PAAA coordinator

Fluor Daniel Hanford Company Management Directive	•	HNF-MD-006
	Revision:	1
Identifying/Reporting a DOE Nuclear	Page Number:	3 of 5
Safety Requirement Noncompliance	Effective Date:	June 30, 1997

or designated alternate who shall report the noncompliance to a) the site interpretative authority for concurrence, and b) FDH NSRC for disposition to the PHMC Noncompliance Database or to the NTS as a significant noncompliance. The FDH project director should also be notified by the subcontractor if it looks like the noncompliance might be serious enough to be reported to the DOE Office of Enforcement and Investigation.

NSRC will maintain the PHMC noncompliance database for all facilities (and subcontractors) affiliated with the PHMC. Facility management shall provide weekly summary reports of PAAA review activities to NSRC; a suggested screening report form is attached. The weekly summary should be the collection of the screening forms (including items found not to have PAAA implications, i.e., "N/A") for that week. Facility management may recommend classifying a noncompliance as minor or significant; however, the manager of NSRC, in consultation with the affected subcontractors and the interpretive authority, will make the final determination of significance of all noncompliances. A contractor is eligible for a reduction of up to 50% of a base civil penalty for prompt noncompliance identification and reporting. For enforcement purposes, prompt reporting is generally done within 20 calendar days after determining that a noncompliance condition exists.

If a subcontractor requests that a noncompliance, associated with one of its operations and determined by the manager, NSRC to be a minor noncompliance, be reported to the NTS in order to protect its corporate interest, then the noncompliance will be reported to the NTS. Similarly, if a subcontractor requests that an event, associated with one of its operations and determined by the interpretive authority to not constitute noncompliance, be reported as a noncompliance in order to protect its corporate interest, then the noncompliance will be reported to the PHMC noncompliance database or to the NTS. Other differences of opinion will be referred to the vice president, ES&H for resolution.

After a noncompliance has been identified and reported, facility management, with concurrence from the interpretive authority, shall immediately determine compensatory actions needed (if any) and the appropriate corrective actions that will restore the facility to a compliant status and will prevent recurrence. If the noncompliance was minor, i.e., less severe than Severity Level III as defined in 10 CFR 820 Appendix A, "General Statement of Enforcement Policy, section VI-Severity of Violations", corrective actions will be entered into the corrective action database, Hanford Action Tracking System (HATS), and tracked to completion. If the noncompliance was significant, the corrective actions will be entered into NTS and tracked as PAAA commitments to completion.

Except in unusual circumstances, facility management should take less than 25 calendar days from the time a noncompliance is reported in NTS to define corrective actions; during this period identified compensatory actions shall be in place. Corrective actions for a significant noncompliance will be reviewed by the appropriate PHMC interpretive authority for possible site-wide application. The interpretive authority is also responsible for preparing and disseminating "lessons learned" from a reported significant noncompliance.

Fluor Daniel Hanford Company Management Directive

Revision:

Identifying/Reporting a DOE Nuclear

Safety Requirement Noncompliance

HNF-MD-006

Revision:

1
Page Number:
4 of 5

Effective Date:
June 30, 1997

## COMPLIANCE OFFICER REPORT

Source Identifier: RL-PHMC1997		
	or ·	<del>-</del> -
If other than ORPS: Plant Name + Identifier	(B-Plant FEB Report 96-004)	
	<u> </u>	
Evaluator:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>-</u> -
Date Discovered: (mm/dd/yy)	٠,	
Tracking Category: (check as appropriate)	· _	
In Review   Minor Noncompliand	ce* [] Update	
N/A [] Significant Noncomp	oliance* -	
* Reviewed by Interpretive Authority		
Key Words: Select up to three from the follow screened.	wing list that best characterize the item being	
OSR Violation Procedure Noncompliance Contamination-Area/Equipment Criticality Alarm Surveillance Security High Radiation Area	OSD Violation Equipment Failure Contamination-Personnel RWP Noncompliance Personnel Exposure Work Processes USQ	
Other		
Date Determined to be N/A or a PAAA Nonco	,	<u></u> -
If it has been determined that this is a noncom	pliance, answer the following questions.	<u></u>
Rule Involved: (check as appropriate)		
[] 10 CFR 830.120 (QA)	[] 10 CFR 835 (Rad Con)	
Comment:		
•	•	

Fluor Daniel Hanford Company Management Directive

Revision:

Identifying/Reporting a DOE Nuclear
Safety Requirement Noncompliance

HNF-MD-006
Revision:
1
Page Number:
5 of 5
Effective Date:
June 30, 1997

SAMPLE> COMPLIANCE	OFFICER REPORT
Source Identifier: RL-PHMC1997-	
	or
If other than ORPS: Plant Name + Identifier	(B-Plant FEB Report 96-004)
DOE Technical Values,/Septe	Position on Surface Radioactivity mber 24, 1996
Evaluator: <u>DM Lucoff/376-5457</u>	
Date Discovered: 10/21/96 (mm/dd/yy)	
Tracking Category: (check as appropriate)	·
[] In Review [] Minor Noncompliand	e* [] Update
[x] N/A [] Significant Noncomp	liance*
* Reviewed by Interpretive Authority	
Key Words: Select up to three from the follow screened.	ring list that best characterize the item being
[] OSR Violation [x] Procedure Noncompliance [x] Contamination-Area/Equipment [] Criticality Alarm [] Surveillance [] Security [] High Radiation Area [x] Other DOE Guidance Letter	OSD Violation Equipment Failure Contamination-Personnel RWP Noncompliance Personnel Exposure Work Processes USQ
Date Determined to be N/A or a PAAA Nonco	mpliance: 10/30/96 (mm/dd/yy)
If it has been determined that this is a noncomp	pliance, answer the following questions.
Rule Involved: (check as appropriate)	
[] 10 CFR 830.120 (QA)	[] 10 CFR 835 (Rad Con)
Comment: DOE/HQ guidance letter elaborates	on footnote #5, Appendix D, 10 CFR 835 - release

Comment: DOE/HQ guidance letter elaborates on footnote #5, Appendix D, 10 CFR 835 - release limits for surfaces contaminated with fission products enriched in Sr-90. The guidance letter specifies limits that are lower than the sensitivity of existing instrumentation at Hanford radiological facilities. Current limits provide protection to workers and the public; however, the guidance letter limits would increase the margin of protection by a small amount. The PHMC interpretive authority is pursuing programmatic implementation of the new guidance with RL.